



A very intense neutrino super beam experiment for leptonic CP violation discovery based on the European Spallation Source linac







Roumen Tsenov LHEP-JINR and Department of Atomic Physics, University of Sofia (on behalf of the ESSvSB Collaboration)

06 October 2016

<sup>7</sup>e<sup>-</sup> spectrum in beta decay

# Neutrinos: the birth of the idea

Pauli's letter of the 4th of December 1930

 $\frac{dN}{dE} = \frac{3}{000} + \frac{3}{000} + \frac{3}{000} + \frac{3}{000} + \frac{3}{000} + \frac{3}{0000} + \frac{3}{0000$ 



Dear Radioactive Ladies and Gentlemen,



As the bearer of these lines, to whom I graciously ask you to listen, will explain to you in more detail, how because of the "wrong" statistics of the N and Li6 nuclei and the continuous beta spectrum, I have hit upon a desperate remedy to save the "exchange theorem" of statistics and the law of conservation of energy. Namely, the possibility that there could exist in the nuclei electrically neutral particles, that I wish to call neutrons, which have spin 1/2 and obey the exclusion principle and which further differ from light quanta in that they do not travel with the velocity of light. The mass of the neutrons should be of the same order of magnitude as the electron mass and in any event not larger than 0.01 proton masses. The continuous beta spectrum would then become understandable by the assumption that in beta decay a neutron is emitted in addition to the electron such that the sum of the energies of the neutron and the electron is constant...

I agree that my remedy could seem incredible because one should have seen those neutrons very earlier if they really exist. But only the one who dare can win and the difficult situation, due to the continuous structure of the beta spectrum, is lighted by a remark of my honoured predecessor, Mr Debye, who told me recently in Bruxelles: "Oh, It's well better not to think to this at all, like new taxes". From now on, every solution to the issue must be discussed. Thus, dear radioactive people, look and judge.

Unfortunately, I cannot appear in Tubingen personally since I am indispensable here in Zurich because of a ball on the night of 6/7 December. With my best regards to you, and also to Mr Back.

Your humble servant

. W. Pauli

**Wolfgang Pauli** 

translation: L.M. Brown, Phys. Today, Sept.1978, 23 <sup>7</sup>e<sup>-</sup> spectrum in beta decay

# Neutrinos: the birth of the idea

Pauli's letter of the 4th of December 1930



Dear Radioactive Ladies and Gentlemen,



As the bearer of these lines, to whom I graciously ask you to listen, will explain to you in more detail, how because of the "wrong" statistics of the N and Li6 nuclei and the continuous beta spectrum, I have hit upon a desperate remedy to save the "exchange theorem" of statistics and the law of conservation of energy. Namely, the possibility that there could exist in the nuclei electrically neutral particles, that I wish to call neutrons, which have spin 1/2 and obey the exclusion principle and which further differ from light quanta in that they do not travel with the velocity of light. The mass of the neutrons should be of the same order of magnitude as the electron mass and in any event not larger than 0.01 proton masses. The continuous beta spectrum would then become understandable by the assumption that in beta decay a neutron is emitted in addition to the electron such that the sum of the energies of the neutron and the electron is constant...

I agree that my remedy could seem incredible because one should have seen those neutrons very earlier if they really exist. But only the one who dare can win and the difficult situation, due to the continuous structure of the beta spectrum, is lighted by a remark of my honoured predecessor, Mr Debye, who told me recently in Bruxelles: "Oh, It's well better not to think to this at all, like new taxes". From now on, every solution to the issue must be discussed. Thus, dear radioactive people, look and judge.

Unfortunately, I cannot appear in Tubingen personally since I am indispensable here in Zurich because of a ball on the night of 6/7 December. With my best regards to you, and also to Mr Back.

Your humble servant

. W. Pauli

**Wolfgang Pauli** 

translation: L.M. Brown, Phys. Today, Sept.1978, 23





# Total neutrino – nucleon CC cross section





Бруно Понтекоры



### **Oscillation Probability**

- \* The case with two neutrinos:
  - $\rightarrow$ A mixing angle:  $\theta$
  - →A mass difference:  $\Delta m^2 = m_2^2 - m_1^2$

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_{\alpha} \\ v_{\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{1} \\ v_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

★ The oscillation probability is:

$$P(v_{\alpha} \rightarrow v_{\beta}) = \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \left(1.27\Delta m^2 \frac{L}{E}\right)$$

∆m<sup>2</sup> in ev<sup>2</sup> L in km E in GeV

#### where L = distance between source and detector E = neutrino energy



L (distance)





## LBL experiments at accelerators



#### K2K (Japan)



#### NOvA (USA)



NuMI Off-Axis  $v_e$  Appearance Experiment



#### **OPERA** (Europe)





#### T2K (Japan)



06 October 2016

51



## SBL experiments at reactors







#### Global fit Aug 2016 (www.nu-fit.org)

HEP

#### NuFIT 2.2 (2016)

	Normal Ord	lering (best fit)	Inverted Order	Any Ordering		
	bfp $\pm 1\sigma$	$3\sigma$ range	bfp $\pm 1\sigma$	$3\sigma$ range	$3\sigma$ range	
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$0.308^{+0.013}_{-0.012}$	$0.273 \rightarrow 0.348$	$0.308^{+0.013}_{-0.012}$	$0.273 \rightarrow 0.349$	$0.273 \rightarrow 0.348$	
$ heta_{12}/^{\circ}$	$33.72_{-0.76}^{+0.79}$	$31.52 \rightarrow 36.18$	$33.72_{-0.76}^{+0.79}$	$31.52 \rightarrow 36.18$	$31.52 \rightarrow 36.18$	
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	$0.440^{+0.023}_{-0.019}$	$0.388 \rightarrow 0.630$	$0.584^{+0.018}_{-0.022}$	$0.398 \rightarrow 0.634$	$0.388 \rightarrow 0.632$	
$ heta_{23}/^{\circ}$	$41.5^{+1.3}_{-1.1}$	$38.6 \rightarrow 52.5$	$49.9^{+1.1}_{-1.3}$	$39.1 \rightarrow 52.8$	$38.6 \rightarrow 52.7$	
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	$0.02163^{+0.00074}_{-0.00074}$	$0.01938 \rightarrow 0.02388$	$0.02175^{+0.00075}_{-0.00074}$	$0.01950 \to 0.02403$	$0.01938 \rightarrow 0.02396$	
$ heta_{13}/^\circ$	$8.46^{+0.14}_{-0.15}$	$8.00 \rightarrow 8.89$	$8.48^{+0.15}_{-0.15}$	$8.03 \rightarrow 8.92$	$8.00 \rightarrow 8.90$	
$\delta_{ m CP}/^{\circ}$	$289^{+38}_{-51}$	$0 \rightarrow 360$	$269^{+39}_{-45}$	$146 \rightarrow 377$	$0 \rightarrow 360$	
$\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{10^{-5} \ {\rm eV}^2}$	$7.49_{-0.17}^{+0.19}$	$7.02 \rightarrow 8.08$	$7.49_{-0.17}^{+0.19}$	$7.02 \rightarrow 8.08$	$7.02 \rightarrow 8.08$	
$\frac{\Delta m_{3\ell}^2}{10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2}$	$+2.526^{+0.039}_{-0.037}$	$+2.413 \rightarrow +2.645$	$-2.518^{+0.038}_{-0.037}$	$-2.634 \rightarrow -2.406$	$ \begin{bmatrix} +2.413 \to +2.645 \\ -2.630 \to -2.409 \end{bmatrix} $	



#### Global fit Aug 2016 (www.nu-fit.org)

What is not know yet:

- the mass hierarchy: sign  $\Delta m_{13}{}^2$ 

			- the CP violating phase $\delta$			
	Normal Ordering (best fit)		Invert			
	bfp $\pm 1\sigma$	$3\sigma$ range	bfp $\pm 1\sigma$	$3\sigma$ range	$3\sigma$ range	
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$0.308^{+0.013}_{-0.012}$	$0.273 \rightarrow 0.348$	$0.308^{+0.013}_{-0.012}$	$0.273 \rightarrow 0.349$	$0.273 \rightarrow 0.348$	
$ heta_{12}/^{\circ}$	$33.72_{-0.76}^{+0.79}$	$31.52 \rightarrow 36.18$	$33.72_{-0.76}^{+0.79}$	$31.52 \rightarrow 36.18$	$31.52 \rightarrow 36.18$	
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	$0.440^{+0.023}_{-0.019}$	$0.388 \rightarrow 0.630$	$0.584^{+0.018}_{-0.022}$	$0.398 \rightarrow 0.634$	0.388  ightarrow 0.632	
$ heta_{23}/^{\circ}$	$41.5^{+1.3}_{-1.1}$	$38.6 \rightarrow 52.5$	$49.9^{+1.1}_{-1.3}$	$39.1 \rightarrow 52.8$	$38.6 \rightarrow 52.7$	
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	$0.02163^{+0.00074}_{-0.00074}$	$0.01938 \rightarrow 0.02388$	$0.02175_{-0.00074}^{+0.00075}$	$0.01950 \rightarrow 0.02403$	$0.01938 \to 0.02396$	
$ heta_{13}/^{\circ}$	$8.46^{+0.14}_{-0.15}$	$8.00 \rightarrow 8.89$	$8.48^{+0.15}_{-0.15}$	$8.03 \rightarrow 8.92$	$8.00 \rightarrow 8.90$	
$\delta_{ m CP}/^{\circ}$	$289^{+38}_{-51}$	$0 \rightarrow 360$	$269^{+39}_{-45}$	$146 \rightarrow 377$	$0 \rightarrow 360$	
$\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{10^{-5} \ {\rm eV}^2}$	$7.49^{+0.19}_{-0.17}$	$7.02 \rightarrow 8.08$	$7.49^{+0.19}_{-0.17}$	$7.02 \rightarrow 8.08$	$7.02 \rightarrow 8.08$	
$\frac{\Delta m_{3\ell}^2}{10^{-3}~{\rm eV}^2}$	$+2.526^{+0.039}_{-0.037}$	$+2.413 \rightarrow +2.645$	$-2.518^{+0.038}_{-0.037}$	$-2.634 \rightarrow -2.406$	$ \begin{bmatrix} +2.413 \to +2.645 \\ -2.630 \to -2.409 \end{bmatrix} $	



 $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$ 

 $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ 

 $\sin^2\theta_{13}$ 

 $\theta_{23}/^{\circ}$ 

 $\theta_{13}/^{\circ}$ 

 $\delta_{\rm CP}/^{\circ}$ 

 $\Delta m_{21}^2$ 

 $10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ 

 $\Delta m_{3\ell}^2$ 

 $10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ 

 $\theta_{12}/^{\circ}$ 



#### Global fit Aug 2016 (www.nu-fit.org)

bfp  $\pm 1\sigma$ 

 $0.308^{+0.013}_{-0.012}$ 

 $33.72_{-0.76}^{+0.79}$ 

 $0.440^{+0.023}_{-0.019}$ 

 $41.5^{+1.3}_{-1.1}$ 

 $0.02163^{+0.00074}_{-0.00074}$ 

 $8.46_{-0.15}^{+0.14}$ 

 $289^{+38}_{-51}$ 

 $7.49^{+0.19}_{-0.17}$ 

 $+2.526^{+0.039}_{-0.037}$ 

Normal Ordering (best fit)

 $3\sigma$  range

 $0.273 \rightarrow 0.348$ 

 $31.52 \rightarrow 36.18$ 

 $0.388 \rightarrow 0.630$ 

 $38.6 \rightarrow 52.5$ 

 $8.00 \rightarrow 8.89$ 

 $7.02 \rightarrow 8.08$ 

 $0 \rightarrow 360$ 





# CP Violating observables (and mass hierarchy)



$$\begin{aligned} P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{e}(\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \to \bar{\nu}_{e})} &= s_{23}^{2} \sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} \left(\frac{\Delta_{13}}{\tilde{B}_{\mp}}\right)^{2} \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\tilde{B}_{\mp}L}{2}\right) \quad \text{atmospheric} \\ &+ c_{23}^{2} \sin^{2} 2\theta_{12} \left(\frac{\Delta_{12}}{A}\right)^{2} \sin^{2} \left(\frac{AL}{2}\right) \quad \text{solar} \quad \text{Non-CPV terms} \\ &+ \tilde{J} \frac{\Delta_{12}}{A} \frac{\Delta_{13}}{\tilde{B}_{\mp}} \sin \left(\frac{AL}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\tilde{B}_{\mp}L}{2}\right) \cos \left(\pm \delta_{CP} - \frac{\Delta_{13}L}{2}\right) \quad \text{interference} \\ \text{CP violating} \\ \tilde{J} &\equiv c_{13} \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23} \sin 2\theta_{13}, \quad \Delta_{ij} &\equiv \frac{\Delta m_{ij}^{2}}{2E_{\nu}}, \quad \tilde{B}_{\mp} &\equiv |A \mp \Delta_{13}|, \quad A = \sqrt{2}G_{F}N_{e} \\ \tilde{A} &= \frac{P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{e}} - P_{\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \to \bar{\nu}_{e}}}{P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{e}} + P_{\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \to \bar{\nu}_{e}}} \quad \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{CP Violation} \quad \text{matter effect} \\ &\Rightarrow \text{ accessibility to} \\ &\text{mass hierarchy} \end{aligned}$$

 $P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{e}} + P_{\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \to \overline{\nu}_{e}} + P_{e} \quad be careful, matter effects also create asymmetry$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  long baseline



# Future neutrino experiments

(in construction / approved / in preparation)







- Maximum proton power up to now: ~700 kW
- For CP violation neutrino experiments power of few MW is needed.



#### **Super Beams**

Challenges:

- high repetition rate (pulsing the whole system faster)
- targets able to afford the proton beam intensity
- power dissipation
- radiations

## Neutrino Oscillations with "large" $\theta_{13}$





06 October 2016

2<sup>nd</sup> oscillation maximum is better



- at the 1<sup>st</sup> oscillation max.:  $A=0.3sin\delta_{CP}$
- at the 2<sup>nd</sup> oscillation max.:  $A=0.75 \sin \delta_{CP}$



2<sup>nd</sup> oscillation maximum is better





06 October 2016



- The ESS will be a copious source of spallation neutrons
- 5 MW average beam power
- 125 MW peak power
- 14 Hz repetition rate (2.86 ms pulse duration, 10<sup>15</sup> protons)
- 2.0 GeV protons (up to 3.5 GeV with linac upgrades)
- >2.7x10<sup>23</sup> p.o.t/year



- The ESS will be a copious source of spallation neutrons
- 5 MW average beam power
- 125 MW peak power
- 14 Hz repetition rate (2.86 ms pulse duration, 10<sup>15</sup> protons)
- 2.0 GeV protons (up to 3.5 GeV with linac upgrades)
- >2.7x10<sup>23</sup> p.o.t/year





# **ESS** Schedule

- 2010 ESS Company set up
- 2010 2012 Technical Design Review
- 2010 2012 Pre-Construction & Site Planning
- 2009 2012 Licensing and Planning
- 2010 2012 Finalisation of international negotiations
- 2013 2019 Construction Phase 7 instruments
- 2019 2025 Completion Phase all 22-33 instruments in place
- 2026 2066 Operations Phase

2066 – 2071 Decommissioning Phase



- 1<sup>st</sup> beam before the end of the decade
- 5 MW by 2023







# How to add a neutrino facility to ESS?

- The neutron program must not be affected and if possible synergetic modifications
- Linac modifications: double the rate (14 Hz  $\rightarrow$  28 • Hz), from 4% duty cycle to 8%.
- Accumulator (ø 143 m) needed to compress to • few  $\mu$ s the 2.86 ms proton pulses, affordable by the magnetic horn (350 kA, power consumption, Joule effect)
  - H<sup>-</sup> source (instead of protons)
  - space charge problems to be solved
- ~300 MeV neutrinos
- Target station (studied in EUROnu)
- Underground detector (studied in LAGUNA) •
- Short pulses (~µs) will also allow DAR • experiments
- The linac and accumulator could be the first step • towards Neutrino Factory, Muon Collider, etc.



GeV

#### 06 October 2016



![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

ScienceDirect

Nuclear Physics B 885 (2014) 127-149

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

www.elsevier.com/locate/nuclphysb

arXiv:1212.5048 arXiv:1309.7022

A very intense neutrino super beam experiment for leptonic CP violation discovery based on the European spallation source linac

E. Baussan<sup>m</sup>, M. Blennow<sup>1</sup>, M. Bogomilov<sup>k</sup>, E. Bouquerel<sup>m</sup>,
O. Caretta<sup>c</sup>, J. Cederkäll<sup>f</sup>, P. Christiansen<sup>f</sup>, P. Coloma<sup>b</sup>, P. Cupial<sup>e</sup>,
H. Danared<sup>g</sup>, T. Davenne<sup>c</sup>, C. Densham<sup>c</sup>, M. Dracos<sup>m,\*</sup>, T. Ekelöf<sup>n,\*</sup>,
M. Eshraqi<sup>g</sup>, E. Fernandez Martinez<sup>h</sup>, G. Gaudiot<sup>m</sup>, R. Hall-Wilton<sup>g</sup>,
J.-P. Koutchouk<sup>n,d</sup>, M. Lindroos<sup>g</sup>, P. Loveridge<sup>c</sup>, R. Matev<sup>k</sup>,
D. McGinnis<sup>g</sup>, M. Mezzetto<sup>j</sup>, R. Miyamoto<sup>g</sup>, L. Mosca<sup>i</sup>, T. Ohlsson<sup>1</sup>,
H. Öhman<sup>n</sup>, F. Osswald<sup>m</sup>, S. Peggs<sup>g</sup>, P. Poussot<sup>m</sup>, R. Ruber<sup>n</sup>, J.Y. Tang<sup>a</sup>,
R. Tsenov<sup>k</sup>, G. Vankova-Kirilova<sup>k</sup>, N. Vassilopoulos<sup>m</sup>, D. Wilcox<sup>c</sup>,
E. Wildner<sup>d</sup>, J. Wurtz<sup>m</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS, Beijing 100049, China <sup>b</sup> Center for Neutrino Physics, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA <sup>c</sup> STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, OX11 0QX Didcot, UK <sup>d</sup> CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland e AGH University of Science and Technology, Al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Krakow, Poland <sup>f</sup> Department of Physics, Lund University, Box 118, SE-221 00 Lund, Sweden g European Spallation Source, ESS AB, P.O. Box 176, SE-221 00 Lund, Sweden <sup>h</sup> Dpto. de Física Téorica and Instituto de Física Téorica UAM/CSIC, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco, E-28049 Madrid, Spain <sup>1</sup> Laboratoire Souterrain de Modane, F-73500 Modane, France J INFN Sezione di Padova, 35131 Padova, Italy <sup>k</sup> Department of Atomic Physics, St. Kliment Ohridski University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria <sup>1</sup> Department of Theoretical Physics, School of Engineering Sciences, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, AlbaNova University Center, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden <sup>m</sup> IPHC, Université de Strasbourg, CNRS/IN2P3, F-67037 Strasbourg, France <sup>n</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Box 516, SE-75120 Uppsala, Sweden

14 participating institutes form 10 different countries, among them ESS and CERN

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

# ESS neutrino energy distribution

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

	positive		negative			
	$N_{\nu} \ ( imes 10^{10})/{ m m}^2$	%	$N_{ u}~( imes 10^{10})/{ m m}^2$	%		
$ u_{\mu}$	396	97.9	11	1.6		
$\bar{ u}_{\mu}$	6.6	1.6	206	94.5		
$\nu_e$	1.9	0.5	0.04	0.01		
$\bar{\nu}_e$	0.02	0.005	1.1	0.5		

at 100 km from the target and per year

![](_page_26_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

# The MEMPHYS (MEgaton Mass PHYSics) Water Cherenkov Detector

Road tunnel

(existing)

- Proton decay
- Astroparticle physics: galactic SN v, Supernovae "relics"
- Solar and atmospheric neutrinos
- Neutrino oscillations (Super Beam)
- 500 kt fiducial volume (~20xSuperK)
- Readout: ~240k 8" PMTs
- 30% optical coverage

![](_page_27_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### (arXiv: hep-ex/0607026)

FIG. 4. Pattern of hit PMTs after the interaction of a 500 MeV muon with the full MEMPHYS simulation. The green line is the muon track, the red dashed lines are gammas from muon capture, each white dot represents one hit PMT.

![](_page_27_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

New design,

2/3 of the reference one

#### EACH TANK

- 260 Kton total
- 10 x SK fiducial volume
- Very good PMT coverage (40%)

0.4

0.6

0.8

60 m height x 74 m diameter

![](_page_30_Figure_10.jpeg)

#### J-PARC 1.3 MW

![](_page_30_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_13.jpeg)

1.2

DEEP UNDERGROUND NEUTRINO EXPERIMENT

# See Dr. Zelimir DJURCIC's talk

#### Initial beam power: 1.07 MW at 80 GeV Planned upgrade to > 2 MW

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_6.jpeg)

Dir

ЛФВЭ

#### Sensitivity to $\delta_{CP}$ - neutrino energy spectra

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

Relative difference in counts at maximum between  $\delta_{CP} = 3\pi/2$  and  $\pi/2$ : 430/275 = 1.6 150/100 = 1.5 105/22 = 4.8

06 October 2016

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

## ESSvSB in comparison with others

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fig. 11. The significance in terms of number of standard deviations  $\sigma$  with which CP violation can be discovered as function of the fraction of the full  $\delta_{CP}$  range for different proposed experiments. For ESS $\nu$ SB the two baselines of 360 km and 540 km and two proton energies (2.0 GeV on left and 3.0 GeV on right) are shown. "2020" considers 3 + 3 years of NOvA, and 5 years only for neutrinos in T2K (at its nominal luminosity, 0.75 MW); "2025" considers 5 + 5 years of NOvA, and 5 + 5 years for T2K. The detector simulation details for T2K follow [41], while for NOvA see

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

- The European Spallation Source linac will be ready in less than 10 years (5 MW, 2 GeV proton beam by 2023).
- Neutrino Super Beam based on ESS linac is very promising.
- ESS will have enough protons to go to the 2<sup>nd</sup> oscillation maximum where the sensitivity to CP violation is larger.
- CP violation discovery: 5  $\sigma$  could be reached over 60% of  $\delta_{CP}$  range in ESSvSB.
- The megaton far detector has a potential for a rich astroparticle physics programme.
- Rich muon programme.
- A Design Study is needed.
- EuroNuNet : Combining forces for a novel European facility for neutrinoantineutrino symmetry violation discovery (http://www.cost.eu/COST\_Actions/ca/CA15139)

## $\delta_{CP}$ , not just one more parameter to measure...

- Why is the Universe as we know it made of matter, with no antimatter present?
- What is the origin of this matter-antimatter asymmetry?
- Are neutrinos connected to the matter-antimatter asymmetry, and if so, how?
- If neutrinos exhibit CP violation, is it related to the CP violation observed in the quark interactions?
  - Already observed CP violation in the quark sector is not enough to explain the matter-antimatter asymmetry.
  - CP violation in the lepton sector could be enough to explain matterantimatter asymmetry if |sinθ<sub>13</sub>sinδ<sub>CP</sub>|≿0.11 (hep-ph/0611338) ⇒ |sinδ<sub>CP</sub>|≿0.7 (45°≾δ<sub>CP</sub>≾135° or 225°≾δ<sub>CP</sub>≾315°).
- Are neutrinos their own antiparticles (do we need Majorana phases)?
- What role did neutrinos play in the evolution of the universe?

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Back-up

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

• SK+T2K ( $\theta_{13}$  fixed):  $\Delta \chi^2 = \chi^2_{\text{NH}} - \chi^2_{\text{IH}} = -5.2$ 

(-3.8 exp. for SK best, -3.1 for combined best)

• Under IH hypothesis, the probability to obtain  $\Delta \chi^2$  of -5.2 or less is 0.024 (sin<sup>2</sup> $\theta_{23}$ =0.6) and 0.001 (sin<sup>2</sup> $\theta_{23}$ =0.4). NH: 0.43 (sin<sup>2</sup> $\theta_{23}$ =0.6) <sup>13</sup>

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $\delta_{CP}$  VS.  $\theta_{13}$ 

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

Left:  $\delta_{CP}$  vs.  $\theta_{I3}$  (fixed  $\Delta \chi^2$ , fixed hierarchy)

- T2K-only
- T2K with reactor  $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.085 \pm 0.005$

Below:  $\delta_{\rm CP}$  with Feldman-Cousins critical values and reactor  $\theta_{\rm 13}$ 

 $\delta_{\text{CP}} =$  [-3.02, -0.49] (NH), [-1.87, -0.98] (IH) @90% CL

![](_page_38_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_10.jpeg)

Physics Depa

Neutrino 2016

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Garpenberg Mine (Boliden)

SDn / 2012

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Distance from ESS: 540 km
- Depth: 1232 m
- Truck access tunnels
- Two ore hoist shafts
- A new ore hoist shaft is planned to be ready in 3 years, leaving the two existing shafts free for other uses

![](_page_39_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_9.jpeg)

#### Granite drill cores around a candidate position

![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Number of events

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Table 3

Number of neutrinos for two plus eight years running with neutrinos and anti-neutrinos respectively (Fig. 7).

Experiment configuration	$v_e \ (\bar{v}_e)$ signal	$v_{\mu} (\bar{v}_{\mu})$ miss-ID	<i>v<sub>e</sub></i> beam	<i>v̄e</i> beam	NC back.	$\bar{\nu}_{\mu}(\nu_{\mu}) \to \bar{\nu}_{e}(\nu_{e})$
360 km						
positive	303.3	10.7	70.8	0.08	29.2	1.4
negative	246.1	6.1	2.4	50.6	17.4	13.3
540 km						
positive	196.7	4.6	33.3	0.04	13.7	0.9
negative	162.9	2.8	1.1	23.5	8.2	7.8

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

Conditions under which Fig. 11 has been prepared.

	Detector vol. (kt)/type	Dist. (km)	Power (MW)	Proton driver energy (GeV)	Years $v/\bar{v}$
ESSvSB-360	500/WC	360	5	2.0/3.0	2/8
ESSvSB-540	500/WC	540	5	2.0/3.0	2/8
Hyper-K [31,44,45]	560/WC	295	0.75	30	3/7
LBNE-10 [46-48]	10/LAr	1290	0.72	120	5/5
LBNE-PX	34/LAr	1290	2.2	120	5/5
LBNO-EoI [49]	20/LAr	2300	0.7	400	5/5
IDS-NF [50,51]	100/MIND	2000	4	$10^{*}$	10**
NuMAX [52,53]	10/LAr (magnetized)	1300	1	5*	5/5

 $^*$  Muon beam energy, relevant for IDS-NF (Low Energy Neutrino Factory) and NuMax.

\*\* IDS-NF is supposed to use at the same time muons and anti-muons.

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

# The MEMPHYS Detector (Supernova explosion)

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Systematic errors

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

	SB		BB			$\rm NF$			
Systematics	Opt.	Def.	Cons.	Opt.	Def.	Cons.	Opt.	Def.	Cons.
Fiducial volume ND	0.2%	0.5%	1%	0.2%	0.5%	1%	0.2%	0.5%	1%
Fiducial volume FD	1%	2.5%	5%	1%	2.5%	5%	1%	2.5%	5%
(incl. near-far extrap.)									
Flux error signal $\nu$	5%	7.5%	10%	1%	2%	2.5%	0.1%	0.5%	1%
Flux error background $\nu$	10%	15%	20%	correlated			correlated		
Flux error signal $\bar{\nu}$	10%	15%	20%	1%	2%	2.5%	0.1%	0.5%	1%
Flux error background $\bar{\nu}$	20%	30%	40%	correlated		correlated			
Background uncertainty	5%	7.5%	10%	5%	7.5%	10%	10%	15%	20%
Cross secs $\times$ eff. QE <sup>†</sup>	10%	15%	20%	10%	15%	20%	10%	15%	20%
Cross secs $\times$ eff. RES <sup>†</sup>	10%	15%	20%	10%	15%	20%	10%	15%	20%
Cross secs $\times$ eff. DIS <sup>†</sup>	5%	7.5%	10%	5%	7.5%	10%	5%	7.5%	10%
Effec. ratio $\nu_e/\nu_\mu \ QE^{\star}$	3.5%	11%	—	3.5%	11%	—	—	—	—
Effec. ratio $\nu_e/\nu_\mu$ RES <sup>*</sup>	2.7%	5.4%	—	2.7%	5.4%	_	—	_	_
Effec. ratio $\nu_e/\nu_\mu$ DIS*	2.5%	5.1%	—	2.5%	5.1%	—	—	—	—
Matter density	1%	2%	5%	1%	2%	5%	1%	2%	5%

Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 3, 033004 [arXiv:1209.5973 [hep-ph]]

06 October 2016