Event selection in FD (based on the talk given at ESSnuSB WP5 video meeting on 15 Apr 2021)

Budimir Kliček Ruđer Bošković Institute, Zagreb

1

Before we continue

- Everything is normalized to 1 year (200 days) of operation
 - "expected events" means expected events in a year
- Event selection is the same for positive and negative polarity
 - all events in positive (negative) polarity are assumed to come from neutrinos (antineutrinos) by the selection algorithm
- Migration matrices are different for neutrinos and antineutrinos
- We are optimizing for our flux using HyperK geometry

Event selection

- Every beam event in positive (negative) polarity is exclusively classified as:
 - $\nu_{\rm e}~(\overline{\nu}_{\rm e})$ CC candidate
 - $\nu_{\mu}~(\overline{\nu}_{\mu})$ CC candidate
 - ν NC ($\overline{\nu}$ NC) candidate (new)
 - not selected
- + $\nu_{\rm e}$ / ν_{μ} discrimination is based on
 - Michel electron detection
 - fiTQun PID
- NC rejection is based on
 - charge collected by PMTs used by fiTQun (noise not included)
 - pi0 detection

Discrimination variables

Number of subevents

- 1st subevent is the earliest detector trigger that happened within beam time window (BTW)
- 2nd and higher subevents are subsequent triggers within the BTW and a period after it

• fiTQun particle id (PID)

- maximum likelihood based PID
- Total collected charge at PMTs
 - filtered for noise by fiTQun

pi0 identification

- maximum likelihood based PID coupled with free fit for pi0 mass

Reconstructed momentum of electrons

- used to reject dark muons
 - · muon that is not detected but Michel electron is

$\nu_{\rm e}$ selection

- Sequential rejection algorithm
 - Fiducial cut assuming charged lepton is an electron
 - Subevents > 1 \rightarrow not v_e
 - PID favours muon over electron \rightarrow not ν_e
 - Total used PMT charge < 1000 \rightarrow not ν_e
 - PID favours pi0 over electron and fit pi0 mass between 105 MeV and 165 MeV \rightarrow not ν_e
 - charged lepton momentum assuming electron < 70 MeV \rightarrow not $\nu_{\rm e}$
 - it is a $\nu_{\rm e}$

$\nu_{_{\mu}}$ and NC selection

- v_{μ} selection
 - selected as $\nu_{\rm e}\,\rightarrow\,$ not ν_{μ}
 - fiducial cut assuming muon as charged lepton
 - subevents < 2 \rightarrow not ν_{μ}
 - it is ν_{μ}
- NC selection
 - selected as $\nu_{\rm e}~\text{or}~\nu_{\mu}$ $\rightarrow~\text{not}~\text{NC}$
 - total used PMT charge between 5 and 800 \rightarrow it is NC

Neutrino interaction "types" (models) Positive polarity





mu e cc positive-genie process vs energy stack

mu_noosc_nc_positive-genie_process_vs_energy_stack



Neutrino interaction "types" (models) Negative polarity





amu ae cc negative-genie process vs energy stack

amu_noosc_nc_negative-genie_process_vs_energy_stack



$\nu_{\rm e}$ selection in detail



All

900

e nu

Positive polarity

Selected e nu



e e cc positive-e nu mu e cc positive-e nu

mu mu cc positive-e nu

e_mu_cc_positive-e_nu

Negative polarity

Selected e nu





Subevent selection

Positive polarity



Subevent selection

Negative polarity



PID selection

Positive polarity



PID selection

Negative polarity



fiTQun collected charge selection

Positive polarity



fiTQun collected charge selection

Negative polarity



pi0 cut

Positive polarity mu mu cc positive-pi0 cut mu e cc positive-pi0 cut m_{rs}^{ft} / GeV m_ft, GeV mu mu cc positive-pi0 cut mu e cc positive-pi0 cut 0.9 0.9 40000 Entries 40000 Entries 0.05 21.92 Mean x Mean x 22 0.8 0.8 0.5712 Mean y 0.6145 Mean v 12.76 Std Dev x Std Dev x 16.78 0.7 0.7 Std Dev v 0.2657 Std Dev y 0.2544 -0.04 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.5 -0.03 0.4 0.4 0.02 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.01 0.1 0.1 -100 -100 -80 -60 -40 -20 0 20 40 60 80 100 In(L_____/ L_e^max) -80 -60 -40 -20 0 20 40 60 80 100 In(L_____/ L_e^max) e e cc positive-pi0 cut mu_noosc_nc_positive-pi0 cut $m_{\pi^0}^{fit}$ / GeV m^{fit} / GeV mu noosc nc positive-pi0 cut 0.9 0.9 Entries 40000 16 0.006 Mean x 15.99 Mean y 0.4391 0.8 0.8 14 Std Dev x 7.969 0.005 0.7 0.7 -Std Dev y 0.2653 12 0.6 0.6 -0.004 0.5 10 0.5 -0.003 0.4 e e cc positive-pi0 cut Entries 40000 0.3 듣 0.002 Mean x 23.05 Mean v 0.6088 0.2 Std Dev x 15.32 0.001 Std Dev y 0.2588 0.1 0.1

-100

-80

-60

-20

0

20

40

-40

60

 $\frac{80}{\ln(L_{\pi^0}^{max} / L_e^{max})}$

-960

-80

-60

-40

-20

20

0

40

60

 $\frac{80}{\ln(L_{\pi^0}^{max} / L_e^{max})}$

pi0 cut



Electron momentum selection

Positive polarity



Electron momentum selection

Negative polarity



0.5

Positive polarity selection breakdown

	$\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$		$\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}$		ν_{μ} NC	
	Events	Part total	Events	Part total	Events	Part total
Interactions	263.52	1.0	4432	1.0	5664	1.0
Fiducial cut	218.2	0.83	3668	0.83	3111	0.55
Subevents = 1	208.2	0.79	825	0.19	3060	0.54
PID	207.4	0.78	353	0.08	2763	0.49
Total collected charge	206.7	0.78	176	0.04	206.3	0.03
pi0 cut	202.8	0.77	162	0.04	27.33	0.005
electron momentum	199.7	0.75	21	0.005	18.4	0.003

Negative polarity

	$\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$		$\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$		$\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ NC	
	Events	Part total	Events	Part total	Events	Part total
Interactions	58.0	1.0	714	1.0	1216	1.0
Fiducial cut	47.9	0.83	593	0.83	609	0.50
Subevents = 1	47.8	0.83	80.0	0.11	602	0.49
PID	47.7	0.82	53.9	0.08	541	0.44
Total collected charge	47.5	0.82	31.5	0.04	29.8	0.02
pi0 cut	46.7	0.80	31.0	0.04	3.5	0.003
electron momentum	45.9	0.79	2.7	0.004	1.88	0.0015

$\nu_{\rm e}$ selection efficiency



$\nu_{\rm e}$ selection efficiency



Some considerations on $\nu_{_{e}}$ selection

- Compared to previous (EuroNu?) selection, the efficiency is is better almost by factor two – significant increase in ESSnuSB sensitivity
 - see Monojit's talk
- A few posed questions:



- Is there a possibility that there is an atmospheric neutrino interaction while we wait for the decay of the muon?
 - No, the probability is < 1.5e-8
- Could muon decay happen in the next beam bunch?
 - No, the bunches are separeted by 750 us, muon decay constant is 2.2 us

Conclusions

- Event selection at FD optimized for our beam is ready
 - **but,** it is done using HyperK geometry
 - it is significantly better than one currently in use by WP6
- Tuning of fiTQun for our FD geometry is almost ready
 - since HyperK geometry is quite similar to our FD geometry, we do not expect much difference
 - we expect to make first MC productions in the next weeks